UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,166	10/31/2003	Parris Wellman	ETH5104	6366	
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 11/28/2007		EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON		DOWE, KATHERINE MARIE			
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA WICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	<b></b>		3734	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•					
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1	٤
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,166	WELLMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine M. Dowe	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	September 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 455 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
o) Claim(s) are subject to resultation and	aror oroston roquiromont		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		·	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	,
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the present the present the present the copies.</li></ol>		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	<u>.                                    </u>	

10/699,166 Art Unit: 3734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The following is a complete response to the amendment filed 9/24/2007.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are currently pending.

### **Drawings**

3. The amendments to the claims removing reference to the rear position and forward position are acknowledged. Accordingly the objections to the drawings as set forth in the 3/22/2007 Office Action are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 9/24/2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 3-5 and 8 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the above claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.
- 5. The amendments to claim 2 are acknowledged. Accordingly, the rejection of the claim under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abele et al. (US 5,190,541). Regarding claims 1-5, 7, and 11, Abele et al. disclose a surgical device comprising a handle (14) having a slot with first and second tracks (70 and 72), a shaft (12) extending from the handle having an internal lumen (52) and a

Art Unit: 3734

distal opening (P; col 5, In 7-10), an anvil (54) slidingly disposed in the opening, a cutting blade (56) slidingly disposed in the opening, and an actuator (76) movably disposed in the handle and operatively connected to the anvil and cutting blade. When the actuator moves along the first track (col 4, In 58-60), the anvil moves between an open (Fig 5a) and closed position (Fig 5b); and when the actuator moves along the second track (col 4, In 42-45), the cutting blade moves between a proximal position (Fig 5a) and distal position (Fig 5c). The anvil is moved to the closed position to capture tissue within the opening (Fig 5b; col 4, In 32-35). The slot has a third track (52) that allows the shaft to move between a proximal and distal position when the actuator is operated. The shaft, cutting blade, and anvil are all movable relative to one another, thus the shaft (12) is in the distal position when the anvil is in the open position (Fig 5a) and the shaft is in the proximal position when the anvil is in the closed position (Fig 5b-5c).

Regarding claims 8-10, Abele et al. further disclose a lock (35) for preventing movement of the shaft relative to the handle (col 5, ln 27-32; col 6, ln 59-63), by preventing motion of actuator (36).

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the claims have been limited to a device that may be directly inserted as opposed to inserted through a trocar as required by Abele et al. The Examiner respectfully traverses the applicant's remarks. It is noted the claims merely

Application/Control Number:

10/699,166

Art Unit: 3734

recite "a surgical device for direct insertion". Thus, it may be interpreted the claims are limited to a device that is directly inserted into the patient, whether or not it is directly inserted through a trocar.

9. In addition, the recitation of a surgical device for direct insertion has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

Application/Control Number:

10/699,166

Art Unit: 3734

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine M. Dowe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3201. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katherine Dowe November 21, 2007

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER